

Re: Teachers4Action et al v. Bloomberg et al, 08-cv-548 (VM)(AJP)

Honorable Judge Peck:

As Your Honor should recall, at the June 11, 2008 Conference, the Court indicated that it would consider revised Supplemental Limited Requests for specific documents but would not "parse" the requests. I am sending this letter electronically to expedite its transmission to Your Honor.

This was also memorialized in my June 12, 2008 letter to the Court, which was endorsed and "So-Ordered".

Immediately after the Conference, Plaintiffs revised their requests for limited discovery and provided Defendants with a courtesy copy of requests that had been cut back to only 6 requests for production of documents (and no interrogatories) to/from the NYC and Union Defendants. On June 12, 2008, Plaintiffs requested the NYC and Union Defendants cooperation and voluntarily agreement to the limited production so Plaintiffs could avoid having to make this renewed limited application and burdening Your Honor with this request.

The Union Defendants (via Counsel Moerdler) refused outright. To date despite reminders, Plaintiffs have yet to receive the courtesy of a response from the NYC Defendants (via Counsel Greenfield) to the request.

In an effort to reduce the number of motions on the docket, Plaintiffs are submitting this letter and a summary of the documents requested (which Plaintiffs have reduced even further so that they should not be objectionable). The documents will aid the parties and the Court in future motion practice.

The Court should note that the documents should in fact be produced in the normal course of business (such as the last draft of the Agreement between the Union & DOE to change Article 21-g of the Collective Bargaining Agreement or the PESH and Fire Marshall reports) or the documents were previously offered (such as the documents referenced by DOE Representative Europe at the May 5, 2008 Batyreva 3020a hearing). Unfortunately, the NYC and Union Defendants seek to withhold these documents from Plaintiffs.

Plaintiffs urge the Court to consider "So Ordering" the production of these limited requests, based on the June 11, 2008 Oral Argument or convene the parties for a telephonic or in-person conference so the NYC and Union Defendants can explain their reasons for seeking to withhold these limited documents.

EDWARD D. FAGAN ESQ.

Hon. Andrew J. Peck Esq. – June 19, 2008 Letter – Page 2
Re: <u>Teachers4Action et al v. Bloomberg et al, 08-CV-548 (VM)(AJP)</u>

Finally, the Court should also note that the requested documents are readily available to NYC and Union Defendants and there is little, if any, burden in producing them documents.

As always, Plaintiffs thank the Court for its consideration in this regard.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ electronically signed Edward D. Fagan

EDF/lsf

Attachments – 1 Page – Description of Documents Requested of NYC Defendants 1 Page – Description of Documents Requested of Union Defendants

Cc: Blanche Greenfield Esq. – For NYC Defendants– Fax (212) 788-8877 Charles Moerdler Esq. – For Defendants UFT, Weingarten & Combier – Fax (212) 806-6006

MEMO ENDORSED 6/19/08

Brewant DENIED got for the

DOE Mydru How drawfort

World only DE whom want Europe

Hon. Andrew Jay Peck

United States Magistrate Judge

Application of the Market

Ma

SPECIFIC DOCUMENTS REQUESTED FROM UNION

1. The Notice of Proposed Changes to the Collective Bargaining Agreement and specifically the provisions related to 3020a disciplinary hearings, and teachers' rights in those disciplinary hearings, that was sent or published to inform and get teachers consent to the changes that were going to be made by Defendant UFT in the proposed 2007 – 2009 Contract.

2. The letter, memo or document sent by or on behalf of Defendant UFT and/or Weingarten to Defendant DOE in which Defendants UFT and/or Weingarten Agreement, and specifically Article 21-g, does not deprive pedagogue teachers of rights to a Three Person Panel of Arbitrators in certain 3020a hearings.

3. The letter, memo or document sent by or on behalf of Defendant UFT to Defendant DOE in which Defendants UFT and/or Weingarten, acknowledge the "failure to include", "omission" or "oversight" regarding language of the 2007-2009 Collective Bargaining Agreement, and specifically Article 21-g, but state that the 2007-2009 Collective Bargaining Agreement was intended to deprive pedagogue teachers, such as plaintiffs, of their right to a Three Person Panel of Arbitrators in certain 3020a hearings.

4. 6 month PESH inspection reports for 2006 – 2007 and 2007 – 2008 school years (referred to by UFT Counsel Charles Moerdler on record at June 11, 2008 Conference) related to conditions in the Rubber Rooms.

RELEVANCE

Document should show Teachers not informed of due process changes and bona fides of all causes of action against NYC & Union defendants.

and other claims by Plaintiffs against there are no such documents, despite nave additional claims against NYC and Union defendants related to and DOE Representative Europe during admissions against interest by NYC will confirm due process violations the representation on the record on DOE refuses to produce these two NYC & Union defendants. Or, if Document(s) admitted to exist by Hearing. Europe offered and now May 5, 2008, then Plaintiffs will Defendants and its agent Europe. documents. The documents are May 5, 2008 Batyreva 3020a

Related to hostile work environment and other claims. Union counsel used alleged existence of these "reports" as basis to object to need for inspections of Rubbers Rooms and to refute allegations of dangerous/ hazardous and other improper conditions in the Rubber Rooms.

SPECIFIC DOCUMENTS REQUESTED FROM NYC / DOE

1. The Notice of Proposed Changes to the Collective Bargaining Agreement and specifically the provisions related to 3020a disciplinary hearings, and teachers' rights in those disciplinary hearings, that was sent or published to inform and get teachers consent to the changes that were going to be made by Defendant UFT in the proposed 2007 – 2009 Contract.

The letter, memo or document sent by or on behalf of Defendant UFT and/or Weingarten to Defendant DOE in which Defendants UFT and/or Weingarten Agreement, and specifically Article 21-g, does not deprive pedagogue teachers of rights to a Three Person Panel of Arbitrators in certain 3020a hearings.

2

3. The letter, memo or document sent by or on behalf of Defendant UFT to Defendant DOE in which Defendants UFT and/or Weingarten, acknowledge the "failure to include", "omission" or "oversight" regarding language of the 2007-2009 Collective Bargaining Agreement, and specifically Article 21-g, but state that the 2007-2009 Collective Bargaining Agreement was intended to deprive pedagogue teachers, such as plaintiffs, of their right to a Three Person Panel of Arbitrators in certain 3020a hearings.

4. 2006 - 2008 violations received by Defendant DOE from PESH for Bronx, Washington Heights, Manhattan, Queens & Brooklyn Rubber Rooms.

5. 2006 - 2008 violations received by Defendant DOE from NYC Fire Marshall Bronx, Washington Heights, Manhattan, Queens & Brooklyn Rubber Rooms

RELEVANCE

Document should show Teachers not informed of due process changes and bona fides of all causes of action against NYC & Union defendants.

and other claims by Plaintiffs against there are no such documents, despite and Union defendants related to and nave additional claims against NYC admissions against interest by NYC DOE Representative Europe during will confirm due process violations the representation on the record on DOE refuses to produce these two Document(s) admitted to exist by Hearing. Europe offered and now NYC & Union defendants. Or, if Defendants and its agent Europe. May 5, 2008, then Plaintiffs will documents. The documents are May 5, 2008 Batyreva 3020a

Related to hostile work environment and other claims. Union counsel used alleged existence of PESH "reports" and denial of building violations as basis to object to need for inspections of Rubbers Rooms and to refute allegations of dangerous/ hazardous and other improper conditions in the Rubber Rooms.

FAX TRANSMITTAL SHEET



ANDREW J. PECK **UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE** UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Southern District of New York **United States Courthouse** 500 Pearl Street, Room 1370 New York, N.Y. 10007-1312

Fax No.: (212) 805-7933 Telephone No.: (212) 805-0036

Dated: June 19, 2008 **Total Number of Pages: 5**

ТО	FAX NUMBER
Edward D. Fagan, Esq.	646-304-6446
Blanche Greenfield, Esq.	212-788-8877
Charles G. Moerdler, Esq.	212-806-2647

TRANSCRIPTION:

MEMO ENDORSED 6/19/08

Doc. request **DENIED** except for the DOE to produce the one document that plaintiff alleges DOE in-house counsel Europe offered to produce at a hearing.

Copy to: **Judge Victor Marrero**